UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,476	11/20/2003	Ram Pandit	02734.0571-00000	6856
22852 7590 06/20/2008 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER		EXAMINER		
LLP			STERRETT, JONATHAN G	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/716,476	PANDIT, RAM
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JONATHAN G. STERRETT	3623
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 № 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowardosed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition of t	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Group I. Claims 1-10, 14-23, 27-36 drawn to optimizing a tour having a first segment and a second segment, classified in class 705, subclass 7.
- Group II. Claims 11, 24 and 37, drawn to optimizing a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of the first tour, classified in class 705, subclass 7.
- Group III. Claims 12, 25 and 38, drawn to optimizing a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of all tours classified in class 705, subclass 7.
- Group IV. Claims 13, 26 and 39, drawn to scratch optimizing a plurality of loads using a load pool, classified in class 705, subclass 7.

The Inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

#### 1. Group I to II

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a method of optimizing a tour having a first segment and a second segment and can be conducted without optimizing a plurality of tours with a plurality of

Art Unit: 3623

segments with optimizing of total cost savings of the first tour. Further one does not need to optimize a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of the first tour in order to optimize a tour having a first segment and a second segment. The subcombination has a separate utility such as optimizing a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of the first tour. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

# 2. Group I to III

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a method of optimizing a tour having a first segment and a second segmentand can be conducted without Optimizing a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of all tours. Further one does not need to optimize a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of all tours in order to optimize a tour having a first segment and a second segment. The subcombination has a separate utility such as optimizing a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of all tours. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 3623

## 3. **Group II to III**

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as a method of optimizing a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of the first tour and can be conducted without optimizing a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of all tours. Further one does not need to optimize a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of all tours in order to optimize a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of the first tour. The subcombination has a separate utility such as optimizing a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of all tours. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

### 4. Group I to IV

Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a method of optimizing a tour having a first segment and a second segment and can be conducted without scratch optimizing a plurality of loads using a load pool. Further one does not need to scratch optimize a plurality of loads using a load

Art Unit: 3623

poolin order to optimize a tour having a first segment and a second segment. The subcombination has a separate utility such as scratch optimizing a plurality of loads using a load pool. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

### 5. **Group II to IV**

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as a method of optimizing a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of the first tour and can be conducted without scratch optimizing a plurality of loads using a load pool. Further one does not need to scratch optimize a plurality of loads using a load pool in order to optimize a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of the first tour. The subcombination has a separate utility such as scratch optimizing a plurality of loads using a load pool. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

### 6. **Group III to IV**

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as a method of optimizing a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of all toursand can be conducted without scratch

optimizing a plurality of loads using a load pool. Further one does not need to scratch optimize a plurality of loads using a load poolin order to optimize a plurality of tours with a plurality of segments with optimizing of total cost savings of all tours. The subcombination has a separate utility such as scratch optimizing a plurality of loads using a load pool. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of the Groups I, II and III is not required for each of the other groups (i.e., I, II), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3623

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jonathan G. Sterrett whose telephone number is 571-

272-6881. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Beth Van Doren can be reached on 571-272-6737.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JGS 6-9-08

/Jonathan G. Sterrett/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623

Application/Control Number: 10/716,476

Page 8

Art Unit: 3623